COP30 BRIEFING ON ENERGY AND JUST TRANSITION

19 Nov 2025

Prepared by: Eugene N Nforngwa, Director, Programs and Research

Key developments

Fossil-fuel phase-out

- A coalition of more than 80 (≈82) countries at COP30 are backing a roadmap to phase out coal, oil and gas in energy systems.
- The Brazilian COP30 Presidency has released a draft decision text that includes wording on phasing out inefficient fossil-fuel subsidies and establishing mechanisms to assist countries in reducing fossil-fuel reliance.
- Civil society and analysts point out that although coal / oil / gas phase-out is now present in the agenda, many NDCs (national climate plans) still do not explicitly address fossil-fuel production decline or supply-side measures.

Just transition

- The phrase “just, orderly and equitable transition” (from fossil fuels) — held since COP28 — remains central.
- The so-called Belém Action Mechanism (BAM), proposed at COP30, is gaining traction. It is meant to coordinate “just transition” actors (workers, communities, Indigenous peoples) with renewable-energy deployment, skills, benefit-sharing and support networks.
- However, critiques remain: initial texts are perceived as weak on obligations, and there is uneven engagement by some major countries (for example shortcomings on meaningful just-transition commitments).

Renewable energy & energy access

- At COP30 discussions highlighted that fulfilling promises to triple renewables, double energy-efficiency and cut methane could avoid nearly 1 °C of warming (worst-case) by end of century.
- The agenda includes scaling grid modernisation, electrification, renewables deployment, and links to the just transition (ensuring communities benefit, not only large projects).
- Energy access is still a thread: delegates from low-income countries say any fossil-fuel phase-out roadmap must include provisions for expanding affordable, reliable clean energy (so as not to compromise development).

Negotiation dynamics

- There are divisions: some major fossil-fuel producing states are reluctant to commit to explicit phase-out language or binding timelines.
- Brazil, as host, is pushing for a two-phase deal: initial agreement on knee-jerk issues (fossil-fuel reduction, finance) by mid-week, remaining by the end.
- Observers note the wording in the current drafts is still optional/"menu of options" rather than firm commitments — which could weaken outcomes.

Strategic challenges & implications

- Transition without equity: If fossil-fuel phase-out lacks strong support for workers, regions reliant on extraction, or communities in the energy transition, the credibility of the "just" part is at risk. For Africa and other developing regions, this means risk of being left with stranded assets or energy-access deficits.
- Supply-side gap: While demand-side measures (efficiency, renewables) are more visible, supply-side (ending new fossil-fuel production/licensing, ramp-down of existing) still lags. That gap threatens ambition and could undercut the 1.5 °C trajectory.
- Energy access trade-offs: Countries with low electricity access may argue that aggressive fossil-fuel phase-out jeopardises development unless accompanied by large-scale clean energy deployment and financing. Failure to link phase-out and energy access may create division between Global North and South.
- Financial flow alignment: Revising public finance (ending fossil-fuel subsidies, redirecting to renewables) is essential — but many countries' NDCs and commitments do not yet reflect the scale of reform needed.
- Ambition vs. implementation: There is a risk that COP30 ends with strong language but weak actionable modalities (timelines, finance, monitoring). Implementation will be the real test — especially in countries like Cameroon, where the structural and institutional challenges are acute.

What to monitor (and what your perspective in Africa might emphasise)

1. Final text wording: Will the final COP30 decision include mandatory language on fossil-fuel phase-out (not just "encouraging" or "exploring")? What deadlines or schedules are proposed?
2. Just transition mechanisms: Are there concrete resources, funds or technical-assistance platforms committed for communities/workers/regions? Will there be guidance on how African economies can diversify and mitigate socio-economic impacts of transition?
3. Energy access commitments: Does the agreement link phase-out with accelerated clean-energy deployment in low-income countries? Are there specific targets or financing windows for off-grid, distributed renewables, and energy-services expansion?

4. Finance and subsidies reform: Does the deal require reporting of fossil-fuel subsidies, timelines for their phase-out, and redirection of those funds toward renewables and adaptation?
5. Alignment with NDCs and production metrics: Will countries commit to submit revised NDCs that include fossil-fuel production cuts and low-carbon transitions? Will supply-side metrics appear (e.g., end of new licenses, moratoria)?
6. Institutional follow-through: Will there be a monitoring body, working programme, or dedicated "just transition" track with accountability? Will the BAM (Belém Action Mechanism) or similar framework be institutionalised?

Why this matters for Africa

- Africa is at the interface of energy access and low-carbon transition: Aggressive fossil-fuel phase-out must go hand-in-hand with clean-energy investment so that development goals are not compromised.
- A robust "just transition" framework is critical given many African economies rely on fossil-fuel (oil, gas) or extractives for revenue. Without diversification and support, there's a risk of job loss, stranded assets and social instability.
- Ensuring African voices are included in the mechanisms (finance, tech transfer, capacity building) is key, otherwise the "transition" could reproduce inequities.
- From a policy-analysis perspective: There is need to assess how African countries can leverage the COP30 outcome, e.g., to shape NDCs, attract transition finance, develop clean-energy business cases, and integrate just transition into national energy and industrial policy.
- The outcome of COP30 will influence investment flows: For natural gas as transitional fuel, how gas is treated in the text (whether "bridge" vs "phase-out" accelerator) will affect strategy.

1. Comparative table – positions at COP30 (energy, fossils, just transition)

Bloc / Actor	Fossil-Fuel Phase-Out	Just Transition	Renewables & efficiency	Energy access / equity
Africa Group (AGN)	Officially supports a managed phase-out of fossil fuels with clear timelines and elimination of subsidies – but insists developed countries move first and provide transition support.	Pushing for a Global Just Transition Framework / Mechanism that links phase-out to jobs, industrialisation, technology transfer and finance; wants just transition tied to energy access and adaptation, not only coal regions in the North.	Calls for scaled-up finance and technology to fast-track renewables and grids in Africa, with NDCs and LT-LEDS used to set national energy-transition targets.	Frames everything around energy poverty – demands that COP30 outcomes on fossils explicitly safeguard the right to development and expand affordable, clean energy access, with strong adaptation finance.
G77 + China	Backing a process for a global roadmap to transition away from fossil fuels, but emphasising that historical emitters must take the lead and that language must respect "common but differentiated responsibilities".	Has tabled a proposal for a Just Transition Mechanism, recognised by observers as a potential basis for a COP30 outcome; calls for strong support to developing countries and workers.	Supports tripling renewables and doubling efficiency, but insists implementation must be supported by concessional finance, technology and capacity building, not just voluntary pledges.	Stresses that any fossil-fuel roadmap must not lock poor countries out of modern energy; positions energy access, poverty eradication and adaptation as non-negotiable pillars of a just transition.
EU	Came to Belem with a mandate for a "just and equitable transition away from fossil fuels in energy systems," paired with a phase-out of fossil-fuel subsidies and industrial decarbonisation, aligned with net-zero by 2050.	Frames just transition mainly as domestic social protection and labour measures, but supports embedding "just, orderly and equitable" language in the COP30 decision and integrating it into NDCs/NAPs rather than creating a big new mechanism.	Strong champion of tripling renewables and doubling energy efficiency, with deadlines and tracking; wants these reflected explicitly in updated NDCs and in the fossil-fuel roadmap.	Recognises "no one left behind" but tends to push economy-wide mitigation first, with energy access treated under broader development and finance headings rather than as a standalone climate goal.

High-Ambition coalition / AOSIS, many LAC & European states	Driving the push by ≈80–82 countries for a clear global roadmap to fossil-fuel phase-out, including all fossil fuels, not just coal; wants timelines and milestones compatible with 1.5°C.	See just transition as integral to 1.5°C – supporting workers, communities and vulnerable countries, but generally comfortable with stronger mitigation language even if transition support is still being detailed.	Very strong on renewables, efficiency and methane – highlighting analysis that delivering on these promises could avoid nearly 1°C of additional warming.	Island and vulnerable states stress loss and damage, adaptation and survival, and tend to support energy-access language, but are primarily focused on rapid fossil decline to keep 1.5°C alive.
Fossil-fuel producers / OPEC-plus, some large emerging economies	Have resisted explicit fossil-fuel phase-out language and references to transition minerals and production limits in the draft text; some argue focus should remain on emissions and technologies (CCS, low-carbon fuels) rather than fuels themselves.	Often invoke "just transition" to argue against abrupt constraints on fossil exports and domestic use, emphasising economic diversification timelines and sovereignty over resources.	Support renewables expansion but alongside continued fossil production, leaning heavily on narratives of energy security, technology neutrality, and the role of gas as a "transition fuel".	Frame equity as the right to use "all energy sources" for development, pushing back against what they see as externally imposed limits that could curtail revenues and poverty-reduction plans.
Brazil / COP30 Presidency	Has put an early deal draft on the table encouraging phase-out of inefficient fossil-fuel subsidies and proposing a process to help countries reduce reliance on fossil fuels; facilitating talks on a voluntary, self-determined fossil-fuel roadmap.	Championing the Belém Action Mechanism (BAM) – a proposed platform to institutionalise just transition, centring workers, Indigenous peoples and communities in planning and benefit-sharing.	Presents the energy transition as systemic, calling for massive investment and innovation to make sustainable fuels the "new global standard"; wants COP30 to fast-track renewables and unlock private capital.	Acknowledges development and equity concerns but is mostly acting as "honest broker", trying to bridge high-ambition phase-out language with developing-country demands on finance, adaptation and energy access.

4. Finance and subsidies reform: Does the deal require reporting of fossil-fuel subsidies, timelines for their phase-out, and redirection of those funds toward renewables and adaptation?
5. Alignment with NDCs and production metrics: Will countries commit to submit revised NDCs that include fossil-fuel production cuts and low-carbon transitions? Will supply-side metrics appear (e.g., end of new licenses, moratoria)?
6. Institutional follow-through: Will there be a monitoring body, working programme, or dedicated "just transition" track with accountability? Will the BAM (Belém Action Mechanism) or similar framework be institutionalised?

2. How to read this politically (from an African lens)

1. **There is now a de facto majority for a fossil-fuel roadmap:** The 80-plus-country coalition plus the EU and many in G77 mean that politically the centre of gravity has shifted toward some form of global fossil-fuel transition plan. The real battle is over how explicit, how fast, and how fair that roadmap is – especially for Africa and other resource-dependent economies.
2. **Africa is trying to turn "just transition" from slogan to instrument:** The Africa Group's call for a Global Just Transition Framework and G77+China's push for a Just Transition Mechanism are attempts to lock in finance, technology and governance around jobs, industrial policy and energy access, not just mine-closure packages. If these ideas are watered down into vague language, Africa loses leverage.
3. **Energy access is still under-specified in the text architecture:** Nearly everyone talks about equity, but few are ready to codify energy access as a core climate goal with measurable targets and dedicated finance. This is the gap our coalition has been working on: linking any fossil-fuel roadmap to scaled-up, time-bound commitments on clean energy access and grids in low-access countries.

Contact Eugene N Nforngwa | Eugene@acsea54.org | +237675114396

www.acsea54.org